January 31, 1961

Mr. Fred P. Hart Associate Valuation Engineer Valuation Division State Board of Equalisation Sacramento 14. California

Dear Sir:

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
"LAUREL CANYON ADDITION NO. 4"

On December 9, 1960 the City of Los Angeles adopted a resolution relocating a portion of the boundary between the Cities of Los Angeles and Beverly Hills, (Pursuant to Government Code 50190). A copy of this resolution was filed with the County Recorder on January 13, 1961 and the territory, known as "Laurel Canyon Addition No. 4" became a part of the City of Los Angeles on that date. This territory was automatically excluded from the City of Beverly Hills.

The enclosed tabulation indicates the effect of this city annexation upon the special districts involved.

This statement, with the enclosed tabulation, legal description of boundary and map of the annexation, is submitted to you for filing in compliance with the provisions of Title 5, Division 2, Part 1, Chapter 8 (Sections 54900 et seq.) of the Government Code.

Yours sincerely,

John A. Lembie COUNTY ENGINEER

ORIGINAL SIGNED

Robert K. Williams Division Engineer

RKW:RKH-11 Encls. (3)

og: J.R. Quinn, Co. Assr. Wm. R. Thomson, Dist. Tax Admr.

bc: J.J. Stump, Dep. Assr.
R.B. Dickson
File (2)

JOHN A. LAMBIE COUNTY ENGINEER HARVEY T. BRANDT CHIEF DEPUTY

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY ENGINEER

MAPPING DIVISION

108 WEST SECOND STREET
LOS ANGELES 12, CALIFORNIA
MADISON 9-4747

ROBERT K. WILLIAMS
DIVISION ENGINEER
RICHARD E. GARCIA
ASSISTANT

January 31, 1961

SUBJECT Boundary Relocation between the Cities of Beverly Hills and Los Angeles, "Laurel Canyon Addition No. 4" to the City of Los Angeles

County Departments and Districts Agricultural Commissioner Auditor California Highway Patrol Flood Control Forester and Fire Warden Health-Division of Vital Records Judicial District Los Angeles City Board of Education Parks and Recreation Pound Department Public Library Regional Planning Registrar of Voters Road Sanitation Sheriff State Alcoholic Beverage Control Superintendent of Schools Tax Collector

Gentlemen:

Proceedings for Boundary Relocation between the Cities of Beverly Hills and Los Angeles, "Laurel Canyon Addition No 4" to the City of Los Angeles were filed with the Secretary of State on December 29, 1960 and with the County Recorder on January 13 1961. The annexed territory became a part of the city on January 13, 1961.

Yours very truly.

John A Lambie COUNTY ENGINEER

Robert K. Williams Division Engineer Title: "Laurel Canyon Addition No. 4"

City of Los Angeles Effective Date January 13, 1961 (Boundary Relocation Between the Cities of Beverly Hills and Los Angeles)

The territory included within this Boundary Relocation was automatically affected as follows:

Beverly Hills Tax District No. 1-------Withdrawn From

Los Angeles County Flood Control District-----No Change

West Basin Municipal Water District

Culver City - County Area-----No Change

Metropolitan Water District

Culver City - County Area-----No Change

School Districts:

Beverly Hills Unified School District—————No Change *

Los Angeles City School District BLO 8————No Change

Los Angeles City High School District BLO 9———No Change

Los Angeles City Junior College District———No Change

Judicial Districts:

^{*} The Los Angeles City Council resolution states that the territory "shall be excluded from the Beverly Hills Unified School District and shall become a part of the Los Angeles Unified School District". However, our County Counsel advises that, in his opinion, there is no legal provision for such change of school district boundaries as a part of these proceedings for city boundary relocation (G.C. 50190 et seq., and E.C. 1722 d).

"LAURELTYANONORDATGELESEG. 4"

>----


(Boundary Relocation per Section 50190 et seq. of Government Code (Exclusion from the City of Beverly Hills)

Pursuant to a Resolution of the City of Los Angeles initiating proceedings, said Resolution being approved by the City of Beverly Hills and adopted by the City of Los Angeles on December 9, 1960; notice filed with the County Recorder January 13, 1961, the following described territory was annexed:

The common boundary between the City of Los Angeles and the City of Beverly Hills in the vicinity of a point approximately 100 feet westerly of the southwesterly terminus of Ridgemont Drive is hereby relocated so as to exclude from the City of Beverly Hills and include within the City of Los Angeles the eastermost portion of Lot "I", Doheny Ranch Tract, as per map recorded in Book 24, Page 91, of Maps, in the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County, such territory being more fully described as follows:

Beginning at the southerly terminus of that certain course in the easterly boundary of Lot "I", Doheny Ranch Tract, as per map recorded in Book 24, Page 91, of Maps, in the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County, shown on said map as having a bearing of North 8° 12° 34" West and a length of 1020 feet, said point of beginning being in the common boundary of the Cities of Beverly Hills and Los Angeles as the same existed February 1, 1960; thence southerly, along the southerly prolongation of said certain course, to that certain course in said easterly boundary, shown on said map as having a bearing of North 26° 25° 30" East and a length of 469.61 feet, said last mentioned course being in said common boundary; thence in a general northerly direction, along said common boundary, and following the same in all its various courses to the point of beginning, and thereby establishing, as the new boundary between the two cities, as a result of this relocation, a line described as follows:

Beginning at the southerly terminus of that certain course in the easterly boundary of Lot "I", Doheny Ranch Tract, as per map recorded in Book 24, Page 91, of Maps, in the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County, shown on said map as having a bearing of North 8° 12' 34" West and a length of 1020 feet, said point of beginning being in the common boundary of the Cities of Beverly Hills and Los Angeles as the same existed February 1, 1960; thence southerly, along the southerly prolongation of said certain course, to that certain course in said easterly boundary, shown on said map as having a bearing of North 26° 25° 30" Rast and a length of 469.61 feet, said last mentioned course being in said common boundary.



L)

FRANK M. JORDAN



SACRAMENTO

I, FRANK M. JOHDAN, Secretary of State of the State of California, hereby certify:

That on the 29th day of December, 1960, pursuant to the provisions of Section 50197, Covernment Code, there was filed in my office a certified copy of a Resolution adopted by the City Council of the City of Los Angeles on December 9, 1960, relocating a portion of the common boundary between the City of Los Angeles and the City of Beverly Hills, said territory so annexed to the City of Los Angeles pursuant to this resolution shall be known as "Laurel Canyon Addition No. 4".

IN WITNESS WHYREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Great Seal of the State of California this 29th day of December, 1960.

FRANK M. JORDAN Secretary of State

Walter C. Stutler

Assistant Secretary of State

11862 1-60 4M SP

A CHAVON ACTION ON ACTION OF THE PER CHAVON September 22, 1960

J. J. Stowell, Deputy City Clerk City of Los Angeles Room 195, City Hall Los Angeles 12, California

Dear Sir:

With reference to your letter of September 21 in which you state the resolution relating to the boundary line between the City of Ios Angeles and the City of Beverly Hills is to be disregarded.

We mailed our certificate to you, the City of Beverly Hills and the Board of Supervisors under date of September 21, 1966. Inasmuch as this certificate is in error will you please destroy it.

Very truly yours,

FRANK M. JOHDAN Secretary of State

Asst. Certification Officer

cj/s cc - City of Beverly Hills Board of Supervisors Los Angeles County

FILED
SEP 23 11 23 PM "60
COUNTY OF LOW MISSINES

CIT OF LOS ANGELES

WALTER C. PETERSON CITY CLERK

WHEN MAKING INQUIRIES RELATIVE TO THIS MATTER, REFER TO FILE NO.

Culve Tropi

91778



CITY CLERK
ROOM 195, CITY HALL
LOS ANGELES 12
MADISON 4-5211

September 21, 1960

MAYOR

Honorable Board of Supervisors County of Los Angeles 501 Hall of Records 220 North Broadway Los Angeles 12, California

Greetings:

On September 19, 1960, under the above file number, we sent you a letter in connection with a resolution relocating a portion of the common boundary line between the cities of Beverly Hills and Los Angeles.

Inasmuch as the resolution referred to was petitioning the city of Beverly Hills to consent to said boundary relocation, we ask that you disregard the above-mentioned communication.

When this matter has been resolved, you will receive the necessary notification.

Very truly yours,

WALTER C. PETERSON, CITY CLERK

By

Deputy

JJS:rk

Call Figure !

FRANK M. JORDAN



#3 1420

SACRAMENTO

I, FRANK M. JORDAN, Secretary of State of the State of California, hereby certify:

That on the 21st day of September, 1960, pursuant to the provisions of Section 50197, Government Code, there was filed in my office a certified copy of a resolution adopted by the City Council of the City of Los Angeles on May 16, 1960, relating to relocation of a portion of the common boundary between Los Angeles and Beverly Hills.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Great Seal of the State of California this 21st day of September, 1960.

FRANK M. JORDAN Secretary of State

Walter C. Stutler Assistant Secretary of State

11862 1-60 4N SPO

RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION

(IT RINGLIVED by the Council of the City of Los Angeles thereby petitions the Council of the City of Los Angeles thereby petitions the Council of the City of Los Angeles thereby petitions the Council of the City of Loverly Hills, pursuant to Government Code, Section 20123, to coassant to the relecation of the common boundary between the two cities at a point in proximately for feet westerly of the southwesterly terminus of Redacmed Prive so as to exclude from the City of Los Angele, the custernators portion of Lot "I". Lobercy Banch Tract, as per man recorded in Book 24, Page 91, of Maps, in the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County when terminary being more fally best in the Indians.

Beginning is the scale of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County above in the Indians.

Tract, as per man recorded in Book 24, Page 91, of Maps, in the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles as the starting of the County Recorder of the County above on said and pashaving a bearing of Morth & 12" "West and a leagth of 1020 feet, and point of beginning being in the common boundary of the Cities of Beyerly Hills and Los Angeles as the same existed Pebruary 1, 1060; thence southerly, along the southerly probingation of said certain course, to that certain course in said easterly boundary, shown on said map as baving a bearing of North 26" 25" 30". East and a length of 169,61 feet, said common boundary, and following the same in all the various courses to the point of beginning at the southerly terminus to that certain course to the point of beginning and thereby establishing a mentioned course being in said common boundary, shown on said map as having a bearing of North 26" 25" 30". East and a length of 1620 feet, said point of height of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County, shown on said map as having a bearing of North 26" 25" 30". East and a length of 1620 feet, said isst metioned to the courter of th

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Linunty of Los Angeles

Gordon T. Nesvig

Secretary

COUNTY BOUNDARY COMMISSION

Chairman

FER 17 3 50 DM 100 John R. Quinn
County Assessor

248 36 8 470 MEMBERS

501 Hall of Records Los Angeles 12

J. M. Lowrey
Auditor-Controller
Milton Breivogel

Director of
Regional Planning
John A. Lambie

County Engineer

Frank G. Bonelli

Pebruary 10, 1960

Mr. Walter C. Peterson City Clerk City of Los Angeles City Hall Los Angeles, California

> Re: Proposed boundary relocation between the Cities of Beverly Hills and Los Angeles, Laurel Canyon Addition No. 4 to the City of Los Angeles

Dear Mr. Peterson:

At the meeting held on February 10, 1960, the County Boundary Commission reviewed the boundary description and map submitted by your City Engineer, Lyall A. Fardee, with his letter of January 27, 1960, of the proposed boundary relocation between your city and the City of Beverly Hills to be known as Laurel Canyon Addition No. 4 to the City of Los Angeles.

The boundaries submitted do not create islands or corridors of unincorporated territory and conform with lines of ownership.

The County Boundary Gemmission approved the boundaries as submitted as to definiteness and certainty in accordance with Section 50194 of the Government Code. A sopy of the approved legal description and a list of special districts involved are enclosed for your use.

Very truly yours

CORDON T. MESVIG


GTN:rl Enc.

cc: Lyall A. Pardee City Engineer

C. Raymond Wood

City Clerk, Beverly Hills

-R. F. Flickwir Co. Engr. Office



February 9, 1960

Mr. Frank G. Bonelli, Chairman County Boundary Commission 501 Hall of Records

Attention Mr. G. T. Nesvig, Secretary

Dear Sir:

BOUNDARY RELOCATION BETWEEN THE CITIES OF BEVERLY HILLS AND LOS ANGELES-"LAUREL CANYON ADDITION NO. 4" TO THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

In compliance with your request of January 29, we have reviewed the submitted legal description of the proposed relocation of boundaries and report as follows:

- 1. The boundaries conform with lines of ownership.
- 2. No island or corridor of unincorporated territory is created by the boundaries.
- 3. Other boundaries are shown in relation to the proposed relocation of boundaries on the enclosed map.
- 4. The legal description as submitted can be approved as to definiteness and certainty in accordance with Section 50194 of the Government Code.

All original papers regarding this matter are enclosed.

Yours sincerely,


John A. Lambie COUNTY ENGINEER

ORIGINAL SIGNED

Robert K. Williams Division Engineer

RKW: JNC-ff Encls.

file



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES REPLY ACTN HED

COUNTY BOUNDARY COMMISSION

501 Hall of Records Los Angeles 12 FEB 2 11 39 AM '60

REPLY_____

January 29, 1960 31. 118

LEF CONTRACTOR PORTS

Frank G. Bonelli Chairman

MEMBERS

John R. Quinn County Assessor

J. M. Lowrey

Auditor-Controller Milton Breivogel Director of Regional Planning

John A. Lambie County Engineer

Mr. John A. Lambie County Engineer 108 West Second Street

Attention:

R. F. Flickwir

Mapping Division

APPROVED

FEB 1 0 196**0**

Re:

Relocation of boundary between the Cities of Beverly Hills and Los Angeles, Laurel Canyon

Addition No. 4

Dear Mr. Lambie:


Attached is a communication from Lyall A. Pardee, City Engineer, City of Los Angeles, requesting the County Boundary Commission to check, in accordance with Section 50194 of the Government Code, the boundaries proposed to be excluded from the City of Beverly Hills and included within the City of Los Angeles, as "Laurel Canyon Addition No. 4".

Will you kindly review the description and map attached and return them with your recommendation to the County Boundary Commission.

Very truly yours,

GORDON T. NESVIG

ENTERE FEB 3 1960



BOUNDARY RELOCATION BETWEEN THE CITIES OF BEVERLY HILLS AND LOS ANGELES - "LAUREL CANYON ADDITION NO. 4" TO THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

a point approximately 100 feet westerly of the southwesterly terminus of Ridgemont Drive so as to exclude from the City of Beverly Hills and include within the City of Los Angeles the easternmost portion of Lot "I", Doheny Ranch Tract, as per map recorded in Book 24, Page 91, of Maps, in the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County, such territory being more fully described as follows:

Beginning at the southerly terminus of that certain course in the easterly boundary of Let "I", Doheny Ranch Tract, as per map recorded in Book 24, Page 91, of Maps, in the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County, shown on said map as having a bearing of North 8° 12' 34" West and a length of 1020 feet, said point of beginning being in the common boundary of the Cities of Beverly Hills and Los Angeles as the same existed February 1, 1960; thence southerly, along the southerly prolongation of said certain course, to that certain course in said easterly boundary, shown on said map as having a bearing of North 26° 25' 30" East and a length of 469.61 feet, said last mentioned course being in said common boundary; thence in a general northerly direction, along said common boundary, and following the same in all its various courses to the point of beginning, and thereby establishing, as the new boundary between the two cities, as a result of this relocation, a line described as follows:

Beginning at the southerly terminus of that certain course in the easterly boundary of Lot "I", Doheny Ranch Tract, as per map recorded

BOUNDARY RELOCATION BETWEEN THE CITIES OF BEVERLY HILLS AND LOS ANGELES - "LAUREL CANYON ADDITION NO. 4" TO THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES (Continued)

in Book 24, Page 91, of Maps, in the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County, shown on said map as having a bearing of North 8° 12° 34" West and a length of 1020 feet, said point of beginning being in the common boundary of the Cities of Beverly Hills and Los Angeles as the same existed February 1, 1960; thence southerly, along the southerly prolongation of said certain course, to that certain course in said easterly boundary, shown on said map as having a bearing of North 26° 25° 30" East and/length of 469.61 feet, said last mentioned course being in said common boundary.

DESCRIPTION APPROVED F.E.B. 9 1960

JOHN A. LAMBIE

COUNTY ENGINEER

BY REAL M. Com., DEPUTY

CITY OF LOB Angeles

PROPOSED ANNEXATION: Boundary Relocation: Beverly Hills and Los Angeles
Filed with the County Boundary Commission on Los Angeles
DISTRICTS INVOLVED (As of above date):
West Pasin Municipal Water District (Culver City County Areas)
Metropolitas Water District (Culver City County Areas)
Los Angeles Flood Control District

Beverly Hills Unified School District
Los Angeles City School District BLO S
Los Angeles City High School District BLO 9
Los Angeles City Junior College District

This list is prepared in compliance with Section 35002.1 of the Government Code, Added by Stats 1957 Ch 1302.

WALTER C. PETERSON

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

CALIFORNIA

WHEN MAKING INQUIRLES RELATIVE TO THIS MATTER, REPER TO FILE NO.

91778



NORRIS POULSON

January 12, 1961

3195

AFFIDAVIT



I, Walter C. Peterson, City Clerk of the City of Los Angeles, do hereby certify that all requirements of the laws concerning the relocating of a portion of the common boundary between the City of Beverly Hills and the City of Los Angeles have been completed in accordance with the provisions of the Government Code. The territory being included within the City of Los Angeles shall be known as "Laurel Canyon Addition No. 4".

Accompanying this Affidavit is a certified copy of a resolution adopted by the Council of the City of Los Angeles on December 9, 1960, wherein is set forth the description of the territory involved, and accompanying this Affidavit is a map relocating said common boundary.

WALTER C. PETERSON, CITY CLERK and ex-officio Clerk of the City Council of the City of Los Angeles

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 12th day of January, 1961.

active 1 mate

ALBERT OF THE ALE STATE OF THE STATE OF CHISTORIA

OFFICIAL RECORDS LOS ANGELES COUNTY, EALIF-RAY E LEE, RECORDER

1951, JAN 13 AH 10: 22

人名英法森斯雷塞亚斯

EMERICAS, the Cornell of the Sity of Las Angeles man positioned the Sornell of the City of Boverly Hills to consent to the relocation of a parties of their demon boundary, as contained to described, and

Miniman, nor polition and thorotomore been doly to bested to and reported you by the Boundary Cummission of the Johnty of Lee Angeles, and

HERCAS, the Council of the Sity of Beverly Halls are, by result tion, companied to the proposed relocation of the posture of the common pointary, as hereinafter described, How Third Stady.

. By The Shorthelp by the Council of the City of Low Angeled was saligned:

The common boundary between the City of Los Angeles and the dity of Beverly Hills in the vicinity of a point approximately last feet sectorly of the southwesterly terminal approximately for in appoint relocated so as to exclude form the City of Essenty Hills and include within the City of Los Angeles the expterment portion of Lot "I", because Aspect Tract,

an per map recorded in Book 24, Page 91, of Maps, in the college of the County Accorder of Los Angeles County, such territory boing more I lly described as college:

Bogismany at the southerly terminas of that cortain to rea in the easterly be minry of Lot "I", Bohany danch Treat, as per may recorded in Book .4, Page 11, or Maps, in the office of the County Recorder of Los ingeles county, shown on wald may as saving a boaring of North S' L' 36" best and a longth of live spat, and point of beginning being in the common boundary of the Cities of Deverly Hills and has Angeles as the same existed Petromy 1, 1,60; themes to theely, along the southerly prolongation of said pertain to real to that certain course in said explanit to minty, shown on said may as having a tear and at March 10' 13' 30' cant and a length of 409.11 est, baid last mentioned course tolog in said common countary, thence in a general portherly direction, along said common boundary, and following the same in all ate vario a courses to the point of beginning,

one property establishing, as the new boundary between the two closes, so a result of this relocation, a line described as collect.

Deginging of the southerly terminus of test serving to book and the serving books of Let "I", Donony taken Tract, as per may recorded in Book . A,

Page 91, of Maps, in the office of the County
Recorder of Los Angeles County, shown on said map as
having a bearing of Morth 8° 12° 34" west and a
length of 1020 feet, said point of beginning being in
the common boundary of the Cities of Beverly Mills
and Los Angeles as the same existed February 1, 1960;
thence southerly, along the southerly prolongation of
said certain course, to that certain course in said
easterly boundary, shown on said map as having a bearing of North 26° 25° 30° East and a length of 469.61
feet, said last mentioned course being in said common
boundary.

The territory so amened to the City of Los Angeles pursuant to these proceedings shall be known as "Lemmal Canyon Addition No. 4.7"

Effective at the time the territory described herein becomes a part of the City of Los Angeles, it shall be excluded from the Beverly Mills Unified School District and shall become a part of the Los Angeles Unified School District. It shall further remain as part of the Los Angeles City Junior College District.

At the time the territory described herein becomes a part of the City of Los Angeles, it shall be added to and become a part of the Second Councilments District of the City of Los Angeles.

The City Clark shall transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Secretary of State, to the Board of

So, erecuses of the county of Los Angeles and to the Council of the original of the council of the original that is and chall prepare and transmit such a likelity, but to sent, and other des ments as may be required by technique, parel and particular to that tor o, wart is levagues . That is not too Government dods at the State of that oresis.

An production restant value the Caremant Jode, four mentants of the care and a second of the care and the car

I handby cantify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Council of the City of Los Angeles at Its meeting neighbors, and the council of the City of Los Angeles at Its meeting

WARTER C. PETERSON, CITY CLERK

Deputy

By

File No. 9177d